Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,496	TERADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796	

	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
REPLY FILED 10 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or		stant status		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			TOL 004)	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: See FINAL rejection.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attachment. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 14 would not further limit claim 1 if applicants newly presented amendment was entered in that claim 14 merely recites a precursor for the composition of claim 1 and does not require all components of claim 1 but only requires carbon filler and "C". Applicants amendment to claim 14 therefore in spite if amending the dependency to recite claim 1 actually broadens claim 14 in most respects. It is noted that even claim 15 which "further" comprises polyamide does not require polyester.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is hereby withdrawn.